

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/739,990	12/18/2000	Richard Chang	57165-5019	7389
	590 12/05/2003		EXAMINER	
-	NGELS, BUTLER & M E OF THE STARS, 7TH F	- VAN, QUANG T		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067		RE-MAILED	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		I WOWNILLD	3742	_
		MAY 0 4 2004	DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003 27	
		PAT & T.M OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1 450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 2231 3-1 450

RE-MAILED

MAY 05 2004

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES MAILED DEC 0.5 2003 GROUP 3700

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Paper No. 22

Application Number: 09/739,990 Filing Date: December 18, 2000 Appellant(s): CHANG ET AL.

Rod S. Berman For Appellant

#### SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINER'S ANSWER

In response to the paper of "REMAND TO THE EXAMINER", the examiner has prepared as follow:

1. The examiner is including the translation to the English Language version of Netherlands (patent No. 542,359) and the examiner is also including the translation of Patent of Taiwanese 040,687, which is provided by applicant. Further, the examiner

Art Unit: 3742

has obtained and relied upon the entirety of both translation documents to support the §103 rejection.

Page 3

2. In response to the paragraph 6 of the "REMAND TO THE EXAMINER", the examiner has corrected to clarify the inconsistency assertion in the §103 rejection of claim 42.

3. In response to the paragraph 7 of the "REMAND TO THE EXAMINER", the examiner has corrected to indicate that the amendment filed on November 25, 2002, has been entered.

This is a supplemental response to the appeal brief filed November 25, 2002.

#### (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

#### (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

A statement identifying the related appeals and interferences, which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the decision in the pending appeal is contained in the brief.

#### (3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of the claims contained in the brief is correct.

#### (4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

#### (5) Summary of Invention

The summary of invention contained in the brief is correct.

Application/Control Number: 09/739,990 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

#### (6) Issues

The appellant's statement of the issues in the brief is correct.

#### (7) Grouping of Claims

Claim 44 is the only claim in this appeal.

#### (8) Claims Appealed

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

#### (9) Prior Art of Record

5,142,123	Chou	8-1992
542,359	Netherlands	11-1955
040,687	Taiwanese	4-1988

#### (10) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou (US 5,142,123) in view of Netherlands (542,359) both cited by applicant, and further in view of Taiwanese (040,687). The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claim:

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3742

Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou (US 5,142,123), in view of Patent Netherlands 542,359 (with the English translation) both cited by applicant and further in view of Patent Taiwanese 040,687 (applicant provided English translation) also cited by applicant. Chou'123 discloses an electric heat sealer comprising a housing (1), a press bar (2) pivotally connected to said housing (1), a heating unit (6) mounted a heat insulate base (63), the heat insulate base (63) mounted in said housing (1), a source of current (10), and circuitry electrically connection said heating unit and said source of current. However, Chou'123 does not disclose a heating unit mounted in said press bar and a free end of said press bar is pivoted downwardly said metal press plate causes said circuit to close, wherein said metal press plate is secured to said press bar. Netherland'542,359 shows an electric heat sealer having a heating unit (7, page 4, line 2 in the English translation version) mounted in said press bar (1, page 3, line 20 in the English translation version). Taiwanese'687 shows a free end of a press bar (20, page 5, line 10 in the English translation version) is pivoted downwardly a metal press plate (14, page 5, line 10 in the English translation version) causes said circuit (15, page 5, lines 22-25 in the English translation version) to close, wherein said metal press plate is secured to a base (10, page 5, line 10 in the English translation version). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Chou'123 a heating unit mounted in said press bar as taught by Netherlands'359 in order to provide heat directly to the object from the top, and a free end of said press bar is

Page 6

Art Unit: 3742

pivoted downwardly said metal press plate causes said circuit to close as taught by Taiwanese'687 in order to activate a heating mechanism. With regard to "said metal press plate is secured to said press bar", Taiwanese'687 only shows said metal press plate is secured to a base (10) instead of a press bar. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to secure a metal press plate to a press bar, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

#### (11) Response to Argument

- I. Appellant argues that "there is no motivation to combine contained in Chou'123, Netherlands'359 and Taiwanese'687" recited on page 6 of Brief of Appeal.
  - A. Taiwanese'687 disparaging alternating current (AC) power supplies.

The Applicant argues that Taiwanese'687 disparages sealers that are powered by AC power supplies, as the Netherlands'359 sealer is. The Taiwanese'687 and Netherlands'359 references are not cited for the type of their power supplies, but for the teaching of a heating unit mounted in a press bar and a free end of said press bar pivoting said metal press plate downwardly to cause a circuit to close. Additionally, Chou'123 discloses that an electric handheld heater can be powered by means of an AC or DC power supply (col. 1, lines 35-39), which provides support for using either type of the heater power supply.

Application/Control Number: 09/739,990 Page 7

Art Unit: 3742

#### B. Smaller sealer vs. larger sealer.

The Applicant argues that "one of the objectives that Taiwanese'687 attempts to achieve includes a smaller, portable design, which is opposite to what is taught by Netherlands'359". Sealers made by different brand names will have different sizes and their sizes also depend on the sizes of product they are intended to seal. Therefore, that Taiwanese'687 and Netherlands'359 sealers have different sizes is considered normal. Taiwanese'687 and Netherlands'359 are both in the electric heat sealers art; thus, they are considered to be analogous.

#### C. Metal press plate is secured to the press bar.

The appellant argues that Taiwanese'687 only shows the metal press plate is secured to a base, instead of a press bar. The Taiwanese'687 shows metal press plate 14 is secured to a base 10 (bottom). The metal press plate functions to disengage the switch and turn-off the heater when no pressure is acting on the press bar. Its function will perform the same whether it is mounted on the top (press bar) or in the bottom (the base). Therefore, the examiner considers that it is not patentable when a mechanical mounting piece is mounted in reverse and its function is performed exactly the same.

Further, the examiner recognizes that references cannot be arbitrarily combined and that there must be some reason why one skilled in the art would be

Art Unit: 3742

references. *In re Nomiya*, 184 USPQ 607 (CCPA 1975). However, there is no requirement that a motivation to make the modification be expressly articulated. The test for combining references is what the combination of disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. *In re McLaughlin*, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). References are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. *In re Bozek*, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969).

Page 8

II. Even assuming the combination of Chou'123, Netherlands'359 and

Taiwanese'687 is proper, the combined references neither teach nor suggest the combination as taught by appellant.

The Applicant argues that "if the press plate is moved to another location, the function of the press plate would be changed from being biasing and a switch activation mechanism to being a biasing means only, and requiring another mechanism to activate the switch" and "the references, specifically Taiwanese'687, in fact teaches away from securing the metal press plate to the press bar as doing so would require that the wire connecting the metal press plate to the power source be extended so that it would still reach the metal press plate".

Taiwanese'687 only shows said metal press plate (14, page 5, line 10 in the

English translation version) is secured to a base (10, page 5, line 10 in the

Art Unit: 3742

Page 9

English translation version) instead of a press bar (20, page 5, line 10 in the English translation version). One having ordinary skill in the art would reverse the mounting to secure a metal press plate to a press bar, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. Here, the rearranging parts means reverse mounting of the press plate. It only requires the re-positioning of the mechanical structure of the metal press plate (14) from the bottom (the base 10) to the top (the press bar 20); thus, it does not change any switching condition, its function is will perform the same whether the metal press plate is mounted on the top (press bar) or in the bottom (the base) and also does not require any extended electric wire. Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art could select either mounting the metal press plate on the base or on the press bar would provide the heater with the same result. Therefore, claim 44 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Page 10

Respectfully submitted,

QV

December 2, 2003

Conferees

John Jeffery

Tu Hoang

JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO, LLP 1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS, 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 Organization\_

12th F1. - CG2 Bldg/Room\_

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

WASHINGTON, DC 20231

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

Moved No Forwarding

No Such Address

Large Style No.

Alternolog Unknown J Moved, No Forwarding DATTENDIEG UNKNOWN

Jeffers, Mangels, Butler & Marmaro LLP Tenth Floor 2121 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067



0004202245 MAY 04 : MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 2: